#### DEPARTMENTS OF THE ARMY AND THE AIR FORCE



NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 1411 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202-3231

NGB-EO (690-700)

31 Jul 00

MEMORANDUM FOR Adjutants General of All States, Puerto Rico, Guam,
The U.S. Virgin Islands, and the Commanding General
of the District of Columbia

SUBJECT: (All States Log Number P00-0045) National Guard Bureau Equal Opportunity (NGB-EO) Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

- 1. The National Guard is expanding the use of Alternative Dispute Resolution in the Equal Opportunity area through the enclosed guidance, the "National Guard Bureau, Equal Opportunity ADR Program Plan (NGB-EO ADRPP)". The enclosed NGB-EO ADRPP is for immediate implementation nationwide. The ADRPP is designed to capitalize on a proven successful program, with the goal of providing a voluntary, flexible, and mutually acceptable method of resolving disputes. I strongly encourage each manager, commander, and employee to participate in ADR and take advantage of the opportunity to resolve complaints, grievances, and most workplace disputes quickly and cost effectively. I recommend that you maximize the use of the enclosed NGB-EO ADRPP within your organization. As an example of the merits of ADR, the use of mediation (the most widely used method of ADR) in Guard matters has achieved a 98% resolution rate.
- 2. The NGB-EO ADRPP is designed for use as a supplement, not a replacement for the traditional/formal grievance and complaint procedures. Any one or more of the following methods may be utilized as a component of the NGB-EO ADR Program: early neutral evaluation; conciliation; facilitation; mediation; fact-finding; settlement negotiations; and ombudsmanship. Information regarding the use/implementation of these ADR methods is enclosed.
- 3. The Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996 and 29 CFR §1614 mandate that each Agency implement an ADR Program. Other NGB programs (such as Human Resources and Contracts) also use ADR and NGB intends to memorialize those programs and efforts in the near future. We also expect to develop over this next year an NGB ADR regulation to set up a general framework for furthering ADR use within NGB and the Guard.

NGB-EO

SUBJECT: (All States Log Number P00-P00-0045) National Guard Bureau Equal Opportunity (NGB-EO) Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

- 4. This guidance is effective immediately and remains in effect for one year from this date or until it is included into the revisions of NGR (AR) 690-600/NGR (AF) 40-1614 and NGR (AR) 600-22/NGR (AF) 30-3, whichever is sooner. This memorandum supersedes All States Log No. P97-0006, subject: DRP and Mediation in EEO Complaints.
- 5. Point of contact is LTC Glendora Thomas, DSN 327-0773.

Encl as RUSSELL C. DAVIS Lieutenant General, USAF Chief, National Guard Bureau

**DISTRIBUTION:** 

Α

# EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM PLAN

August 2000

#### NGB POLICY STATEMENT

The National Guard Bureau, Equal Opportunity Directorate Alternative Dispute Resolution Program Plan (NGB-EO ADRPP) establishes and implements policy and program procedures for encouraging the expanded use of ADR for Army and Air Force personnel. This policy is applicable to Title 32 Active Guard Reserve (AGR), Title 32 Traditional Guardsmen, and Title 32 technicians. This plan is designed pursuant to statutory requirements in the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act, Public Law 104-320 (October 19, 1996), Executive Order 12988 ADR Provisions of the National Performance Review (a) and (b), 29 CFR 1614, DOD Directive 5145.5 April 22, 1996, NGR (AR) 690-600/NGR (AF) 40-1614, AR 15-6, AFD 51-12 and NGB-EO All States Letter (P00-P00-0045). NGB is committed to ADR and strongly endorses the use of various mechanisms that would serve to expedite resolution of disputes in the workplace.

The NGB-EO ADRPP is designed to supplement, not limit or replace the formal grievance and complaint procedures already established within the National Guard. Informal voluntary procedures used in the NGB-EO ADRPP include, but are not limited to, settlement negotiation conferences, fact-finding, mediation, ombudsman, conciliation, facilitation, and early neutral evaluation. Participation in ADR is voluntary and may be initiated prior to the filing of a formal complaint, through the command level or through the traditional administrative processes, as well as after the complaint goes formal. ADR can be a valuable tool to resolve Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Military (EO) complaints, grievances, appeals, and other unresolved disputes in the workplace.

The Chief of the National Guard strongly encourages the use of one or more ADR methods to be used alone or in combination, for parties seeking to resolve their disputes. Resolution attempts may be considered throughout the process up to the point that a decision is made.

# EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM PLAN

#### A. PURPOSE:

The primary purpose of the NGB-EO Alternative Dispute Resolution Program Plan is to provide quick and inexpensive mechanisms to resolve disputes, which are an alternative to tedious time-consuming formal adjudication and litigation. ADR utilizes informal methods that remove the adversarial climate of the grievance and complaint process, reduces the cost of litigating claims in terms of resources (e.g. people, money and time), improves management and employee relationships and thereby improves the overall work environment.

#### **B. RESPONSIBILITIES:**

The Chief of the National Guard is committed to improving the confidence, readiness and morale of the work force by increasing ADR awareness, promoting the accessibility and willingness of all personnel to participate in the NGB-EO ADRPP.

**The Chief Counsel** is the agency-designated ADR Representative responsible for oversight, review, and monitoring the overall Agency-wide ADR Reports and data to ensure consistency with DOD components, program effectiveness and legal sufficiency in the use of ADR throughout the National Guard. The Chief Counsel provides legal counsel and technical ADR expertise for the NGB Alternative Dispute Resolution Program.

The Adjutants General of each state are required to implement the NGB-EO ADRPP fully throughout the state ensuring that ADRPP receives the widest distribution and promotion to all applicable personnel, as identified above. States may modify and revise the NGB-EO ADRPP to enhance or broaden the use of ADR. Any deviations from the NGB-EO ADRPP must be reviewed and approved by the NGB-EO prior to implementation to ensure compliance with all ADR statutory requirements, DOD, Army, Air Force and NGB regulations.

The Directorate for Equal Opportunity is responsible for the design and implementation of the NGB-EO nationwide ADR Program. The NGB-EO directs, reviews, and manages design, implementation and evaluation of the ADR Program providing policy, regulatory and procedural guidance, for both Army and Air Force military and civilian personnel throughout 54 states and territories.

# EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM PLAN

NGB-EO assists in providing ADR training, mediation and facilitation services, and promotes the use of ADR throughout the Guard.

**NG Commanders, managers and unit supervisors** should make every effort to participate fully in ADR and forge a clear path towards the goal of working together to resolve conflicts in an expedient and fair manner.

The State Equal Employment Manager (SEEM) is the proponent in the state for the NGB-EO ADR Program management, administration, implementation and evaluation. The SEEM will submit an Annual State ADRP Report to NGB-EO NLT 15 Oct of each year.

**Employees** are encouraged to utilize the ADRPP to resolve workplace disputes fairly, and quickly.

#### C. NGB-EO ADRPP PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

- NGB, Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute, and States will
  provide a wide range of ADR training of various types and sources for
  Commanders, Managers, Supervisors, Employees, Mediators, Facilitators,
  Third Party Neutrals (TPNs), SEEMS, HRO Staff, Equal Opportunity
  Advisors, EEO Counselors, and Special Emphasis Program Managers to
  educate and inform the workplace on ADR methods and procedures.
- Conduct ADR briefings, courses, workshops and skills training to enhance and improve the techniques and proficiency of ADR Third Party Neutrals.
- Provide a cadre of trained and certified NGB Third Party Neutrals.
- Advertise and promote the use of ADR nationwide.
- The State ADR Representative (ADRR)/State Equal Employment Manager (SEEM) will collect ADR data, and administer the state-level ADRPP and determine the effectiveness by reviewing and analyzing the implementation of the ADR Program throughout the state. The ADRR/SEEM will also complete an Annual ADR Report assessing trends, causes, types, and costs associated with workplace disputes. The NGB-EO will monitor, review and evaluate

# EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM PLAN

nationwide implementation and effectiveness of the overall NGB-EO ADRP and make appropriate modifications or changes as necessary.

#### D. ADR METHODS

"Alternative Dispute Resolution" (ADR) is a generic name for a continuum of processes and approaches designed to resolve disputes in a manner that is quick, flexible, and cost effective. ADR avoids the cost, delay and unpredictability of the traditional adjudicative "WIN-LOSE" scenario where both parties lose control of the outcome to a third party Senior Commander or judge. ADR seeks to achieve a "WIN-WIN" resolution of the dispute and empowers the disputing parties to formulate a mutually agreeable resolution while maintaining management and employee rights and entitlements. The ADR process is a non-adversarial process which allows both parties to participate in conflict management in an environment that is conducive to mutual respect, consideration of others and mission accomplishment. One or more of the following ADR methods may be used to resolve disputes.

• Early Neutral Evaluation- A neutral third party provides non-binding evaluation or assessment of the dispute orally or in writing. The third party neutral provides the disputing parties an objective perspective on the strengths and weakness of their cases. Under this method the parties will usually make informal presentations to the neutral to highlight each party's case or position.

Early neutral evaluation is appropriate when the dispute involves technical or factual issues that lend themselves to expert evaluation. It is also used when the parties disagree significantly about the value of their cases and when the top decision-makers of one or more of the parties could be better informed about the real strengths and weaknesses of their cases. Finally, it is used when the parties are seeking an alternative to the expensive and time-consuming process of following discovery procedures.

• Conciliation- Involves establishing communications and building a positive relationship between the parties to a dispute. To help build or improve relationships, the parties may use a third party or Conciliator (who may or may not be totally neutral to the interests of the parties). A Conciliator (i.e., EEO Counselor, Equal Opportunity Assistant, Military Equal Opportunity Officer (MEO), ADR Representative, Special Emphasis Program Manager (SEPM), Key

# EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM PLAN

Management Official, Union Representative, Human Rights Officer) may assist parties by helping to establish communication, clarifying misperceptions, dealing with strong emotions, and building the trust necessary for cooperative problemsolving. The conciliation method is often used in conjunction with other methods such as facilitation or mediation.

• Facilitation- Involves the use of techniques to improve the flow of information in a meeting or group situation. The facilitator guides discussions, monitors and records the comments, suggestions, or results of brainstorming sessions, and process action teams, in an orderly and productive manner. The facilitator generally works with all the parties in a group setting, and provides directions as to how the group can efficiently move through the problem-solving steps of the meeting and arrive at a jointly agreed upon resolution. Facilitators focus on procedural assistance and remain impartial to the topics or issues under discussion.

The method of facilitating is most appropriate (1) when the intensity of the parties' emotions about the issues in dispute are low to moderate, (2) the parties or issues are not extremely polarized, (3) the parties have enough trust in each other that they can work together to develop a mutually acceptable solution, or (4) the parties are in a common predicament and they need or will benefit from a jointly acceptable outcome.

• Fact-Finding- Involves the use of an impartial expert or group (ADR Representative or third party neutral) selected by the parties, an agency, or by an individual with the authority to appoint a fact-finder in order to determine what the "facts" are in a dispute. The rationale behind the efficiency of fact-finding is the expectation that the opinion of a trusted and impartial neutral will carry weight with the parties. Fact-Finding was originally used in the attempt to resolve labor disputes, but variations of the procedure have been applied to a wide variety of problems in other areas as well.

Fact-finders do not resolve or decide policy issues. The fact-finder may be authorized only to conduct an inquiry or evaluate the matter presented and file a report establishing the facts. In some cases, he or she may be authorized to issue either a situation assessment or a specific non-binding procedural or substantive recommendation as to how a dispute might be resolved. In cases where such recommendations are not accepted, the data (or facts) have been

# EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM PLAN

collected and organized in a fashion that will facilitate further negotiations or be available as part of the case record for use in later traditional or administrative procedures.

• **Mediation**- The objective of this intervention is to assist the parties to voluntarily reach a mutually acceptable resolution of issues in dispute. The mediator is an impartial and neutral third party, who has no decision-making authority. Mediation is useful in highly polarized disputes where the parties have either been unable to initiate productive dialogue, or in cases where the parties have been talking and have reached a seemingly insurmountable impasse.

A mediator, like a facilitator, makes primarily procedural suggestions regarding how parties can reach agreement. Occasionally, a mediator may suggest some substantive options as a means of encouraging the parties to expand the range of possible resolutions under consideration. A mediator often works with the parties individually, and in caucuses, to explore acceptable resolution options or to develop proposals that might move the parties closer to resolution.

Mediators may differ in their degree of directness or control of the process depending upon the parties involved, the complexity of the issues, and the subject-matter expertise required. Mediators perform the role of the catalyst that enables the parties to present their cases in an orderly fashion, defuses tensions and emotions in a non-adversarial environment allowing the parties to communicate in a non-threatening manner which is conducive to a free exchange of information and ideas. The mediators assist the parties in identifying the issues and guides the process utilizing interest-based bargaining techniques rather than positional-adversarial bargaining techniques. The objective is a "win/win" scenario which allows the parties to pursue common goals, interests and possible solutions while avoiding the pitfalls of positional bargaining which often results in impasses where neither party "wins". The mediator sets the procedural ground rules for the proceedings to ensure a balance of power, mutual respect, and integrity of the process.

• Settlement Negotiation Conference- Involves a meeting between the opposing parties and/or their representatives in order to reach a mutually acceptable settlement of the matter in dispute. This method is generally mandated prior to use of the judicial system (i.e., prior to the courts, EEOC or MSPB hearing a case). In this proceeding there is no judge or individual who

# EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM PLAN

will render a decision. Resolution of the dispute rests with the parties involved. The disputing parties apply interest based problem-solving techniques to reach a mutual settlement agreement that would resolve the dispute. The key to success in utilizing a Settlement Negotiation Conference is the ability of both parties to come to the table in a good-faith effort and demonstrate their willingness to explore possible alternative solutions. Each party represented must have the authority to make a decision or commitment on their behalf that would be binding if a settlement agreement were reached. The process separates the person from the problem, explores all interests to define issues clearly, brainstorms possibilities and opportunities, and uses some mutually agreed upon standard to reach a solution. Trust in the process and the willingness of each party to work towards resolution is essential for success.

- Ombudsman- An Ombudsman may have varying degrees of authority ranging from providing advisory services and recommendations to parties regarding employment disputes, to actually making the final decision in the matter of dispute. This service involves informal discussions regarding employee rights, benefits and entitlements; and employer rights, authority and requirements.
- Other- Other ADR methods and procedures may be utilized as deemed appropriate by the NGB-EO Alternative Dispute Resolution Manager. Other methods that may be utilized in specific cases may be Peer Review Panels, Minitrials and non-binding arbitration.

# EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM PLAN

#### D. PROCEDURES:

#### **Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Steps**

DAY S	TEF	PROCESS
0	1	Aggrieved party makes initial contact with Management, an EEO Counselor, EOA, MEO, SEEM, HRO, IG, ADR POC etc.
2-4	2	State ADR POC emails or faxes a completed ADR Request Form with signatures of disputants agreeing to participate in goodfaith to NGB-EO to determine feasibility of ADR.
4-10	3.	NGB-EO selects the appropriate ADR method and appoints a Mediator or Third Party Neutral (TPN).
10-12	4	Mediator or Third Party Neutral initiates contact with both parties to coordinate the ADR session(s).
12-28	5	ADR process is conducted.
28-30	6	If partial or full resolution is reached, a Settlement Agreement is formulated and coordinated with appropriate state officials (HRO, SJA, SEEM, EOA, MEO, IG, and NGB-EO) before each disputant, and the TPN signs the agreement. Each participant will complete a Client or Mediator Evaluation Form and the TPN will forward forms to the SEEM and NGB-EO.
30	7	If <b>no resolution</b> , a Memorandum for Record will be written stating simply that a resolution attempt was made, the date(s), the participants and that no resolution/agreement was reached. Each participant will complete a Client or Mediator Evaluation Form and the TPN will forward forms to the SEEM and NGB-EO. If <b>partial agreement or no resolution</b> is reached, forward the remaining issues in dispute through the appropriate established traditional informal or formal administrative complaint or grievance process (i.e., EEO/EO, HRO IG).

# EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM PLAN

#### F. EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT MEASUREMENT:

The NGB-EO ADR Program will be reviewed annually to assess program effectiveness. The overall program policy, procedures and regulatory guidance will be reviewed to evaluate the appropriateness and applicability to the National Guard. The ADRR/SEEM will assess the state's EO ADR Program to determine program improvements, significant trends, and problem areas requiring corrective action and overall need for modification and update. The ADRR/SEEM will complete and submit an "EO ADR Program Summary" along with the "NGB-EO State Annual ADR Report," as of 30 September, to NGB-EO, Suite 9200, 1411 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202-3231, NLT 15 October each year. Follow-up Client Reports will be completed by each participant of ADR to determine the satisfaction level of the resolutions reached and the process used for each instance where ADR was used. For further information or assistance regarding this matter, please NGB-EO, Suite 9200, 1411 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202-3231, or call DSN 327-0764, commercial (703) 607-0764, fax DSN 327-0789, commercial (703) 607-0789.

6 Attachments
NGB Mediator and Facilitator Profile Form
NGB Standards of Conduct for Mediators
Request for ADR and Agreement to Participate in Good Faith
Client Evaluation Form
Mediator Evaluation Form
NGB-EO State Annual ADR Report Form

# NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU - EO ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM (ADRP)

#### **MEDIATOR AND FACILITATOR PROFILE**

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete this form and submit to the National Guard Bureau, Directorate for Equal Opportunity, Suite 9200, Jefferson Plaza One, 1411 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202-3231, or fax the form to (703) 607-0790/DSN 327-0790, for review and certification as an NGB-EO Mediator and/or Facilitator. If you have any questions please phone (703) 607-0764/DSN 327-0764.

Name:				
Position Title:				
Grade/Rank/Series:	4. State:	5. Email Addr	ess:	
Name of Organization:				
Address:				
			DSN:	
			Com:	
			Fax:	
0. Mediator/Facilitator Experie  Total Cases Facilitator	Total Cases (	Cases for each area and Co-Mediator		
#Cases	Issue(s)			
Personnel				
Grievances/Appeals_				

	Team Building
	Other Specify
11.	Relevant Dispute Resolution Experience: (e.g., as an attorney, instructor, EEO investigator, counselor, or SEP Mgr., grievance examiner, union official or steward, personnelist, conciliator, ombudsman, arbitrator, negotiator, ADR panel member)
12.	Additional skills, credentials, or professional affiliation. (e.g., foreign language, sign language, special expertise, membership in SPIDR, NIDR, AAA,)
13.	Known limitations on your availability (e.g. days unavailable, travel limitations, conflicts-of-interest 1).
14.	Supervisor's Name: 15. Supervisor Telephone:

#### PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT:

The information you provide is voluntary and will be reviewed for approval and certification as an NGB Mediator/Facilitator. Failure to provide requested information would result in the individual's non-certification. Upon certification, your name will be included in the NGB Mediator and Facilitator Listing and database maintained by NGB-EO. Information from this form will be used to review and select individuals based upon training, experience and evaluation of effectiveness of mediation and or facilitation skills. The profiles will be provided upon request to disputants, their representatives and agency officials seeking mediation, facilitation or other ADR assistance.

#### Attachments:

- 1. Individual and Supervisory Verification and Endorsement
- 2. Standards of Conduct for NGB-EO Mediators

<sup>1</sup> NOTE: A Third Party Neutral must:

- a. Ensure that there is no actual or perceived conflicts-of-interests or bias towards either party; and
- b. Can not be in the military or civilian chain-of-command within the State/Organization.

# NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU - EO ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM

#### INDIVIDUAL AND SUPERVISORY VERIFICATION FORM

APPLICAN	NT MEDIATION VERIFICATION
I will update this information annually to ensure cases. I agree to participate fully in the NGB E0 applicable ADR statute, policy and regulations, a 29 CFR 1614; DOD Directive 5145.5 Apr 22, 19 3, and AFD 50-12. I have read the attached Soci	d complete to the best of my knowledge and recollection. that the data accurately reflects my qualifications and availability to mediate O Alternative Dispute Resolution Program (ADRP), and comply with as specified in the ADR Act of Oct 19, 1996; 5USC §571, 572, 573, and 581; 996; and NGR (AR) 600-22/NGR (AF) 40-1614, (AR) 600-22/NGR (AF) 30-1014 (AR) 600-22/NGR (AF) 40-1014 (AR) 600-22/NGR (AF) 30-1014 (AR) 600-22/NGR (AF) 40-1014 (AF) 600-22/NGR
Signature of Applicant	Date
APPLICANT	FACILITATION VERIFICATION
I will update this information annually to ensure facilitator. I agree to participate fully in the NG applicable ADRP statute and policy as specified	d complete to the best of my knowledge and recollection. that the data accurately reflects my qualifications and availability as a B EO Alternative Dispute Resolution Program (ADRP) and comply with in the ADR Act of Oct 19, 1996; 5USC §571, 572, 573, and 581; 29 CFR inderstand that serving as a Facilitator is a collateral duty assignment and no
Signature of Applicant	Date
other documents which verify that the informatio temperament and inter-personal skills, I believe t Facilitator My concurrence in no w understand that when serving as a mediator or fa associated with such services under this agreement	to serve as a by National Guard Bureau. I have reviewed the training certificates and on submitted is accurate and complete. Based upon my knowledge of the this person has the ability and potential to perform effectively as a <b>Mediator</b> vay limits my ability to schedule or assign this employee's work. I further incilitator, the individual is performing collateral duties and no compensation is ant other than covering any travel and per diem expenses incurred while or other costs related to the mediation will be paid by the requesting
Supervisor Signature	

# NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU - EO ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM



**MEDIATORS** 

Approved and Adopted by

The American Arbitration Association

The Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution

The American Bar Association

Patricia A. Williams, ADRP Manager NGB Directorate for Equal Opportunity, Suite 9212 1411 Jefferson Davis Hwy, Arlington, VA 22202-3231 DSN: 327-0776/(703) 607-0776

FAX: (703) 607-0789

Williamsp@ngb.ang.af.mil

"The Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators" have been approved by the American Arbitration Association, the Litigation Section and the Dispute Resolution Section of the American Bar Association, and the Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution.

#### **Introductory Note**

The initiative for these standards came from three professional groups: The American Arbitration Association (AAA), the American Bar Association (ABA), and the Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution (SPIDR).

The purpose of this initiative is to develop a set of standards to serve as a general framework for the practice of mediation. The effort is a step in the development of the field and a tool to assist practitioners in it — a beginning, not an end. The model standards are intended to apply to all types of mediation. It is recognized, however, that in some cases the application of these standards may be affected by laws or contractual agreements.

#### **Preface**

The model standards of conduct for mediators are intended to perform three major functions: to serve as a guide for the conduct of mediators; to inform the mediating parties; and to promote public confidence in mediation as a process for resolving disputes. The standards draw on existing codes of conduct for mediators and take into account issues and problems that have surfaced in mediation practice. They are offered in the hope that they will serve an educational function and provide assistance to individuals, organizations, and institutions involved in mediation.

I. Self-Determination: A Mediator Shall Recognize that Mediation is Based on the Principle of Self-Determination by the Parties.

Self-determination is the fundamental principle of mediation. It requires that the mediation process rely upon the ability of the parties to reach a voluntary, uncoerced agreement. Any party may withdraw from mediation at any time.

#### **COMMENTS:**

- The mediator may provide information about the process, raise issues, and help parties explore options. The primary role of the mediator is to facilitate a voluntary resolution of a dispute. Parties shall be given the opportunity to consider all proposed options.
- A mediator cannot personally ensure that each party has made a fully informed choice to reach a particular agreement, but it is a good practice for the mediator to make the parties aware of the

"The Standards of Conduct For Mediators" is a model guide for NGB Mediators to use in conjunction with the ADR Act of 1996; E. O. 12988, E. O. 12871, 5 USC § 571 through § 581; 29 CFR §1614; DOD Directive 5145.5; and NGB EO ADRP policy and regulations NGR (AR) 600-22/NGR (AF) 40-1614.

importance of consulting other professionals, where appropriate, to help them make informed decisions.

#### II. Impartiality: A Mediator Shall Conduct the Mediation in an Impartial Manner.

The concept of mediator impartiality is central to the mediation process. A mediator shall mediate only those matters in which she or he can remain impartial and evenhanded. If at any time the mediator is unable to conduct the process in an impartial manner, the mediator is obligated to withdraw.

#### **COMMENTS:**

- A mediator shall avoid conduct that gives the appearance of partiality toward one of the parties. The quality of the mediation process is enhanced when the parties have confidence in the impartiality of the mediator. When mediators are appointed by a court or institution, the appointing agency shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that mediators serve impartially.
- A mediator should guard against partiality or prejudice based on the parties' personal characteristics, background or performance at the mediation.

III. Conflicts of Interest: A Mediator shall Disclose all Actual and Potential Conflicts of Interest Reasonably Known to the Mediator. After Disclosure, the Mediator shall Decline to Mediate unless all Parties Choose to Retain the Mediator. The Need to Protect Against Conflicts of Interest also Governs Conduct that Occurs During and After the Mediation.

A conflict of interest is a dealing or relationship that might create an impression of possible bias. The basic approach to questions of conflict of interest is consistent with the concept of self-determination. The mediator has a responsibility to disclose all actual and potential conflicts that are reasonably known to the mediator and could reasonably be seen as raising a question about impartiality. If all parties agree to mediate after being informed of conflicts, the mediator may proceed with the mediation. If, however, the conflict of interest casts serious doubt on the integrity of the process, the mediator shall decline to proceed.

A mediator must avoid the appearance of conflict of interest both during and after the mediation. Without the consent of all parties, a mediator shall not subsequently establish a professional relationship with one of the parties in a related matter, or in an unrelated matter under circumstances which would raise legitimate questions about the integrity of the mediation process.

#### **COMMENTS:**

• A mediator shall avoid conflicts of interest in recommending the services of other professionals. A mediator may make reference to professional referral services or associations, which maintain rosters of qualified professionals.

• Potential conflicts of interest may arise between administrators of mediation programs and mediators and there may be strong pressures on the mediator to settle a particular case or cases. The mediator's commitment must be to the parties and the process. Pressure from outside of the mediation process should never influence the mediator to coerce parties to settle.

# IV. Competence: A Mediator shall Mediate Only When the Mediator has the Necessary Qualifications to Satisfy the Reasonable Expectations of the Parties.

Any person may be selected as a mediator, provided that the parties are satisfied with the mediator's qualifications. Training and experience in mediation, however, are often necessary for effective mediation. A person who offers herself or himself as available to serve as a mediator gives parties and the public the expectation that she or he has the competency to mediate effectively. In court-connected or other forms of mandated mediation, it is essential that mediators assigned to the parties have the requisite training and experience.

#### **COMMENTS:**

- Mediators should have information available for the parties regarding their relevant training education and experience.
- The requirements for appearing on the list of mediators must be made public and available to interested persons.
- When mediators are appointed by a court or institution, the appointing agency shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that each mediator is qualified for the particular mediation.

# V. Confidentiality: A Mediator Shall Maintain the Reasonable Expectations of the Parties with Regard to Confidentiality.

The reasonable expectations of the parties with regard to confidentiality shall be met by the mediator. The parties' expectations of confidentiality depend on the circumstances of the mediation and any agreements they may make. The mediator shall not disclose any matter that a party expects to be confidential unless given permission by all parties or unless required by law or other public policy.

#### **COMMENTS:**

• The parties may make their own rules with respect to confidentiality, or other accepted practice of an individual mediator or institution may dictate a particular set of expectations. Since the parties' expectations regarding confidentiality are important, the mediator should discuss these expectations with the parties.

- If the mediator holds private sessions with a party, the nature of these sessions with regard to confidentiality should be discussed prior to undertaking such sessions.
- In order to protect the integrity of the mediation, a mediator should avoid communicating information about how the parties acted in the mediation process, the merits of the case, or settlement offers. The mediator may report, if required, whether parties appeared at a scheduled mediation.
- Where the parties have agreed that all or a portion of the information disclosed during a mediation is confidential, the parties' agreement should be respected by the mediator.
- Confidentiality should not be construed to limit or prohibit the effective monitoring, research or evaluation of mediation programs by responsible persons. Under appropriate circumstances, researchers may be permitted to obtain access to the statistical data and, with the permission of the parties, to individual case files, observations of live mediations and interviews with participants.

VI. Quality of the Process: A Mediator Shall Conduct the Mediation Fairly, Diligently, and in a Manner Consistent with the Principle of Self-Determination by the Parties.

A mediator shall work to ensure a quality process and to encourage mutual respect among the parties. A quality process requires a commitment by the mediator to diligence and procedural fairness. There should be adequate opportunity for each party in the mediation to participate in the discussions. The parties decide when and under what conditions they will reach an agreement or terminate mediation.

#### **COMMENTS:**

- A mediator may agree to mediate only when he or she is prepared to commit the attention essential to an effective mediation.
- Mediators should only accept cases when they can satisfy the reasonable expectations of the parties
  concerning the timing of the process. A mediator should not allow a mediation to be unduly delayed by
  the parties or their representatives.
  - The presence or absence of persons at mediation depends on the agreement of the parties and the mediator. The parties and mediator may agree that others may be excluded from particular sessions or from the entire mediation process.
  - The primary purpose of a mediator is to facilitate the parties' voluntary agreement. This role differs substantially from other professional-client relationships. Mixing the role of a mediator and the role of a professional advising a client is problematic, and mediators must strive to distinguish between the roles. A mediator should, therefore, refrain from providing professional advice. Where appropriate, a mediator should recommend that parties seek outside professional advice, or consider

"The Standards of Conduct For Mediators" is a model guide for NGB Mediators to use in conjunction with the ADR Act of 1996; E. O. 12988, E. O. 12871, 5 USC § 571 through § 581; 29 CFR §1614; DOD Directive 5145.5; and NGB EO ADRP policy and regulations NGR (AR) 600-22/NGR (AF) 40-1614.

resolving their dispute through arbitration, counseling, neutral evaluation, or other processes. A mediator, who undertakes, at the request of the parties, an additional dispute resolution role in the same matter assumes increased responsibilities and obligations that may be governed by the standards of other processes.

- A mediator shall withdraw from mediation when incapable of serving or when unable to remain impartial.
- A mediator shall withdraw from mediation, or postpone a session if the mediation is being used to further illegal conduct, or if a party is unable to participate due to drug, alcohol, or other physical or mental incapacity.
- Mediators should not permit their behavior in the mediation process to be guided by a desire for a high settlement rate.

VII. Advertising and Solicitation: A Mediator shall be Truthful in Advertising and Solicitation for Mediation. Advertising or any other communication with the public concerning services offered or regarding the education, training, and expertise of the mediator shall be truthful. Mediators shall refrain from promises and guarantees of results.

#### **COMMENTS:**

- It is imperative that communication with the public educates and instills confidence in the process.
- In an advertisement or other communication to the public, a mediator may make reference to meeting state, national, or private organization qualifications only if the entity referred to has a procedure for qualifying mediators and the mediator has been duly granted the requisite status.

VIII. Fees: A Mediator shall fully Disclose and Explain the Basis of Compensation, Fees, and Charges to the Parties.

The parties should be provided sufficient information about fees at the outset of a mediation to determine if they wish to retain the services of a mediator. If a mediator charges fees, the fees shall be reasonable, considering among other things, the mediation service, the type and complexity of the matter, the expertise of the mediator, the time required, and the rates customary in the community. The better practice in reaching an understanding about fees is to set down the arrangements in a written agreement.

#### **COMMENTS:**

• A mediator, who withdraws from mediation, should return any unearned fee to the parties.

- A mediator should not enter into a fee agreement, which is contingent upon the result of the mediation or amount of the settlement.
- Co-mediators who share a fee should hold to standards of reasonableness in determining the allocation of fees.
- A mediator should not accept a fee for referral of a matter to another mediator or to any other person.

IX. Obligations to the Mediation Process: Mediators have a Duty to Improve the Practice of Mediation.

#### **COMMENT:**

• Mediators are regarded as knowledgeable in the process of mediation. They have an obligation to use their knowledge to help educate the public about mediation; to make mediation accessible to those who would like to use it; to correct abuses; and to improve their professional skills and abilities.

# REQUEST FOR ADR / MEDIATION AND AGREEMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN GOOD FAITH

INSTRUCTIONS: This form must be completed by parties requesting and agreeing to mediation; and the local Point-of-Contact responsible for coordinating the necessary administrative arrangements on behalf of the parties seeking mediation. Submit this completed form with the appropriate signatures to: National Guard Bureau, Directorate for Equal Opportunity, Suite 9200, Jefferson Plaza One, 1411 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202-3231, or fax the document to (703) 607-0790/DSN 327-0790. If you have questions or comments please phone, NGB-EO at (703) 607-0764/DSN 327-0764.

#### PART I. ADR/MEDIATION REQUEST (To be completed by SEEM or State POC)

1.	Date of Request/Referral:			
2.	State and Organization:	· .		
3.	Case No. (if applicable):	4. I	POC Name:	
5.	Telephone # Commercial:	DSN:		_ FAX:
6.	Mailing Adddres:			
7.	Proposed Date(s) when the parties at dates in order of preference when bo			
	1 <sup>st</sup> Choice 2 <sup>nd</sup>	Choice	3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice	
8.	Location where the mediation will b arrangements to gain access to build			
9.	Is specialized knowledge or experiently yes, specify.			
10.	If a party to this dispute is in a barga the union concur with the intent to n	nining unit, has his/her nediate? Yes	exclusive represen	tative been informed, and do
11.	Would you like a copy of the Media	tor/TPN Profile data?	YesN	0.
12.	If a Mediator/TPN is unavailable in ADR/Mediation Cost (i.e., travel and No, If a local mediator is not a	d per diem cost).	Yes, I agree to pay	travel expenses.

#### PART II PARTIES TO ADR/MEDIATION

13. ADR/Mediation Client/1st Party:		
Name & Title:		
Organization Address: Telephone Commercial: DSN	V:	FAX:
Totephone commercial.		
14. ADR/Mediation Client/2 <sup>nd</sup> Party, Management Repr. Name & Title:		
Organization Address:		
Organization Address: Telephone Commercial: Description	SN:	FAX:
15. Client/1st Party's Representative/Other:		
Name & Title:		
Address:	EAV.	
Telephone No.: Commercial:	_ FAX:	
16. Briefly summarize the dispute which you wish to resol	ve	
17. Have there been any prior attempts to resolve this case mediation or facilitation? If yes, please explain.		
PART II AGREEMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN GOOI	O FAITH	
I agree to enter into this mediation process in goo agree to cooperate with the Mediator/TPN assigned to this made in regard to developing a realistic solution to the pro I understand that the Mediator/TPN assigned to the judge. The mediator's sole function is to act as a neutral this mediation session are entered into voluntarily and by recognize that ADR/Mediation is an attempt to sunderstand and agree mediation discussions will be kept confidentiality with regard to any matters discussed during the mediator's responsibility to report instances of fraud, we persons. Disclosure and release of information is bound by law or to authorized persons requiring terms of the agreem that the mediator may not be called as a witness to the profunct of the interest and that I may not subpoen a or attention produce records, notes, or a work product in any future process will be made of the mediation session.  I realize that ADR/Mediation is an open-end process may require 1-2 days for completion.  I understand that no party shall be bound by anyth is reached and executed by the parties. If a settlement is reto writing and, when signed and approved by the appropriate	a case, and give belem(s). his case will no hird party. An mutual acceptant settle disputes to confidential and g the mediation waste, abuse, co y the agreement ment to implement ceedings of the mpt to require to receedings and tess and agree to tity to succeed.	t be serving as an advocate, attorney, or by agreements or decisions resulting from the cofthe parties. In the parties agree to maintain process, with the exception that binds riminal activity, behavior and harm to to confidentiality except as bound by the terms of said agreement. I agree to mediation process. The mediator in this case to testify or that no recordings or stenographic to make myself available for the total. I understand the normal mediation agh mediation unless a written settlement reement is binding and shall be reduced.
ADR/Mediation Client/ 1st Party's Signature	Date	Telephone #
ADR/Mediation Client/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> Management Rep Signature	Date	Telephone #

#### **CLIENT EVALUATION FORM**

INSTRUCTIONS: Please fill out this form upon completion of mediation. Local reproduction of this form is authorized so that each participant [client(s), agency official(s) or other representative(s)] has a form to complete. Please mail completed forms to National Guard Bureau, Directorate of Equal Opportunity, Suite 9200, 1411 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202-3231, call DSN 327-0764 or fax forms to (703) 607-0790 or DSN 327-0790.

1. Name & Title:	
2. State, Organization & Address:	
3. Date(s) of Mediation:	4. Name of Mediator:
5. Case Results:  Settled Partial Settlement  6. If the case was not settled, why do you believe	Not Settlede this happened?
7. Would you use ADR again?Yes	
8. Would you recommend this Mediator/Third Page 1	arty Neutral in the future? Yes No.
If not, explain.	
9. Any other comments?	

#### Please circle the most accurate response:

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral or Not Applicable	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Time Savings	5	4	3	2	1
Money Savings	5	4	3	2	1
Effectiveness of the Neutral	5	4	3	2	1
Impact on relationship of disputing parties	5	4	3	2	1
Outcome of the Case	5	4	3	2	1

#### MEDIATOR OR THIRD PARTY NEUTRAL EVALUATION FORM

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete this form upon closing your case. Mail the completed form and a copy of the Settlement Agreement, if one was made to National Guard Bureau, Directorate of Equal Opportunity, JP 1 Suite 9200, 1411 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202-3231, or fax the form and/or Settlement Agreement to (703) 607-0790 or DSN 327-0790. You may call NGB-EO at (703) 607-0764/DSN 327-0764.

1. State and Organization that requested assistance:
2. Name of Parties that participated in ADR/Mediation
3. Date and Location of Mediation:
4. Type of Dispute:
5. Outcome:
Settled Partial Settlement Not Settled
6. No. hours spent mediating 7. Travel & Per Diem Cost \$ 8. Total Cost \$
9. Other Cost (please specify type and amount i.e. attorney fees, compensatory damages):
10. If case was not settled, please indicate why you believe this occurred.
11. Was ADR or Mediation appropriate for this case? Yes No
12. If not, why not?
13. Other Comments:
Mediator Signature Telephone

	(STATE) National Guard
FY	Annual Equal Opportunity Alternative Dispute Resolution Report

INSTRUCTIONS: This form is to be completed annually NLT: 15 October, reflecting data from 01 October of the previous year to 30 September of the current year; and submitted to the National Guard Bureau, Directorate of Equal Opportunity, Suite 9200, 1411 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202-3231, or fax reports to DSN 327-0789 or (703) 607-0789.

	ARNG Army (AR) ADR Event	ARNG Air (AF) ADR Event	Number Resolved	Number Not Resolved	No. ADR Events by Source of Neutral	Comp Cost	Travel & Per Diem Cost	Total Cost
Conciliation								
Early Neutral Evaluation								
Facilitation								
Mediation								
Fact Finding							·	
Settlement Conference	-							
Ombudsman								
Other Specify								
Number of ADR Events by Source of Neutral								
TOTALS								
	ļ	-	<u> </u>		<del>                                     </del>			

Neutrai				
TOTALS				
* LEGEND: ADR SOURCE NGB-National Guard Bure DOD-Non-NGB Departmen PC-Private Sector – Contra	au it of Defense	EXAMPLE:	NGB 10 DOD 6 PC 8	5
NAME (SEEM/ADR REP)	TITLE		DATE	

# Alternative Dispute Resolution Process Chart NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU - EO

